

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/776,147	02/05/2001	Glenn W. Palmway-Riley	7070	
7:	590 10/21/2003		EXAM	INER
G.W. PALMWAY-RILEY			PARSLEY, DAVID J	
c/o L. CROUT 613 CALIOPE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MT AIRY, MI	O 21771		3643	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	*	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/776,147	PALMWAY-RILEY, GLENN W.		
41"	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		David J Parsley	3643		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)□		— is action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows				
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
<u> </u>	Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) 🔲 🗆	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.		
— -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.		
40\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)L	All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
* S	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro				
ر السارة . Attachment		a priority under do d.o.o. y	3 120 anaron 121.		
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/776,147

Art Unit: 3643

Detailed Action

Amendment

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment (paper no. 17) dated 5-14-03 and it is non-final.

Appeal

2. In view of the filed on 7-24-03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Application/Control Number: 09/776,147

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 2,384,993 to Goddard et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,970,808 to Massie.

Referring to claim 23, Goddard et al. discloses a fishing hook comprising a body – 5,6,7 composed of a metal which is exposed for contact with water, a winding – 12 of metal, the winding having a central opening with the body being within the central opening such tat the winding – 12 extends around the body, the metal of the winding being exposed for contact with water and an insulating layer – 10 between the winding – 12 and the body to insulate the winding from direct contact with the body – see for example figures 1-4 and page 1. Goddard et al. does not disclose wherein the metals are dissimilar metals such that immersion of the hook in water results in the generation of a fish-attracting electromagnetic field as a result of electrolytic action between the two metals. Massie does disclose wherein the metals – at 2, 6 and 7 are of dissimilar metals such that immersion of the hook in water results in the generation of a fish-attracting electromagnetic field as a result of electrolytic action between the two metals – see for example column 1 lines 30-68 and column 2 lines 1-39. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Goddard et al. and add the dissimilar metals causing

Art Unit: 3643

electrolytic action of Massie, so as to allow for the metals to form an electric wave which causes fish to be attracted to the device.

Referring to claim 24, Goddard et al. as modified by Massie further discloses the body comprises a rectilinear part – 5 of Goddard, having at one end means – 7 for attachment of a line and at the other end a hook – 6 wherein the winding – 12 is applied to the rectilinear part – 5 of the body – see for example figures 1-4 of Goddard.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to David Parsley whose telephone number is (703) 306-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at (703) 308-2574.

SUPERVISO

...133